

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REVERSIBLE LANES

ALONG MAJOR THOROUGHFARES IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY

Work Order No. GPC VI-4



September 2016



The Miami-Dade Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) complies with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which states:

"No person in the United States shall, on grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance."

It is also the policy of the Miami-Dade MPO to comply with all of the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). For materials in accessible format, please call (305) 375-4507.

The preparation of this report has been financed in part from the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) through the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and/or the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), the State Planning and Research Program (Section 505 of Title 23, U.S. Code) and Miami-Dade County, Florida. The contents of this report do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the USDOT.



#### INTRODUCTION

Travel delays due to peak-period and peak-direction congestion affect the productivity and quality of life of nearly all travelers in Miami-Dade County. Adding roadway capacity to improve travel times is challenging due to high costs and environmental impacts associated with, designing, constructing, and purchasing Right of Way (ROW) for new travel lanes and/or roadways. Therefore, ensuring optimal utilization of existing transportation assets is crucial.

A reversible lane is one such transportation system management technique that ensures a higher utilization of existing transportation assets while reducing the negative externalities associated with the widening of roadway facilities. The reversible lane system designates traffic/travel flow in one direction during some period of time and reverses it to the opposing direction during some other period of time. This reversal of traffic/travel flow from one direction to another can take place along a single center lane, multiple center/inside lanes, shoulders, or the entire roadway. The direction of traffic/travel flow can be adjusted at different times to adapt to changing traffic/travel conditions. These conditions are most commonly based on demand associated with frequent and predictable unbalanced peak-period travel times such as on corridors that accommodate predominantly commuter traffic/travel. The basic principle is to configure the lanes of a roadway or the entire roadway to provide additional directional capacity to match anticipated periodic unbalanced directional traffic/travel demand. Reversible lanes allow transportation agencies to make better use of new or existing underutilized roadways by aligning the capacity with traffic demand.



## 3



## **PROJECT NEED**

Miami-Dade County is in need of possible solutions to the congestion problem associated with morning and afternoon peak travel periods. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the feasibility of implementing reversible lanes along major thoroughfares in Miami-Dade County, particularly during peak commute travel times with the intent of addressing traffic congestion in a cost-effective manner.

## **PROJECT OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this study is to identify potential roadways throughout Miami-Dade County where a reversible lanes pilot program can be successfully implemented providing the community with the benefit of reduced congestion at a low cost.







## **PROJECT BACKGROUND**

Reversible lanes have been studied in the past along a few roadways in the County (i.e. NW 199<sup>th</sup> Street, US-1, NW 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue). Only NW 199<sup>th</sup> Street currently operates in Miami-Dade County, but only during special events. The studies that have examined reversible lanes in the County are:

- 1. Miami-Dade MPO US-1 Reversible Flow Lane Study (2007)
- 2. Miami-Dade County / Florida Department of Transportation State Road 7 (NW 7th Avenue) Reversible Lane Project (2007)
- 3. Miami-Dade MPO Special-Use Lanes Study (2005)
- 4. Miami-Dade MPO Flagler Street Reversible Flow Study (1992)

#### WHAT ARE REVERSIBLE LANES?

A reversible lane, or reversible roadway, is a transportation facility on which the direction of travel flow changes during some specified period of time to serve the direction with greater travel demand. Its purpose is to increase the directional capacity of the roadway during the daily peak travel period. In essence, reversible lanes "shift" the directional capacity of roadways.





## **REVERSIBLE LANE APPLICATION**

For expedited implementation, minimized complexity, and reduced reconstruction, the ideal roadway cross section for reversible lane treatment should have a center Two-Way Left Turn Lane (TWLTL) or a paved median. Furthermore, roadways with an odd number of lanes and with more than five (5) lanes are preferred since these characteristics will maintain at least two (2) lanes for the non-peak direction of travel throughout the day. That is, these characteristics ensure that the non-peak direction does not become oversaturated with traffic flow when "shifting" the capacity of the roadway to favor the peak direction. Roadways with three (3) and four (4) lanes will only have one (1) lane serving the non-peak direction and this could easily become oversaturated in emergency situations when the lane is, or needs to be closed.



TWLTL

**Paved Median** 





#### THE APPROACH

This study began with a literature review to understand the cost, safety, operations, design, and success of reversible lanes on relevant corridors with similar environments as Miami-Dade County. Applying the knowledge obtained from this research, a system-level analysis and tiered screening process was ensued to determine the best corridors in the County that could benefit commuters by implementing reversible lanes. Two (2) selected corridors were then further examined by creating concept plans, developing a cost estimate, and creating an implementation plan.

## LITERATURE REVIEW & RELEVANT CORRIDORS

In the literature review 16 studies focused on major arterial and collector roadways were reviewed to extract important lessons pertaining to reversible lanes. These lessons resulted in the following conclusions:

- · Traffic demand should exceed existing roadway supply
- Traffic congestion should be, to most extent, periodic and predictable
- The ratio between major and minor roadway traffic volumes should be 2:1 or 3:1, preferably, to avoid traffic issues on side-streets
- · One-way pairs, where applicable, are preferred over reversible lanes
- · Reversible lane termini should have sufficient capacity to avoid bottlenecks
- NCHRP Synthesis 340 provides evidence that suggest reversible lanes do not contribute significantly to increased frequency or severity of crashes
- Reversible lanes tend to be commuter oriented, deemphasizing pedestrians, bicyclists, and community revitalization

Furthermore, the literature review gave way to a review of relevant corridors with reversible lanes through the Americas. In total eleven (11) nation-wide facilities and three (3) international facilities were reviewed and the following conclusions were made:

- · Most reversible lane facilities are two-way roadways with a center TWLTL
- On average reversible lane(s) segments are 2 miles long
- On average reversible lane(s) segments have 5 to 7 lanes
- · Most corridors connect suburban areas to a Central Business District (CBD)
- · Left turn prohibitions are common practice with reversible lane operation
- · On-street parking removal/prohibitions are common practice as well
- · Additional investment in traffic control devices and enforcement is needed
- · Surrounding commercial land use is preferred over residential land use











## **ANALYSIS & SCREENING**

The tiered screening involved analyzing all arterials and collectors within Miami-Dade County using the following criteria:

Tier 1: Preliminary Screening

- Primary Criteria
  - Directional Distribution
    - Spatial: 60%/40% directional distribution preferred
    - Temporal: A frequency of directional distribution duration of 100% to 75% was preferred during both the AM and PM peak
- Secondary Criteria
  - Roadway Length: Thoroughfares longer than 2 miles were preferred
  - Connectivity to the CBD or higher order roadway: Corridors that serve as commuter routes to the CBD or that connected to higher order roadways were preferred
  - Arterial and Collectors: Freeways, ramps, and rural highways were not selected for further analysis
  - Jurisdiction: Roads with a single jurisdiction were preferred

#### Tier 2: In-depth Screening

- Planned & Ongoing Improvements: Roadways with capacity projects included in the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) and in the Miami-Dade County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) were excluded from further consideration
- Daily Volume: Thoroughfares with high daily traffic were preferred since this characteristic indicates a greater need for improvement and a larger number of potential beneficiaries
- Truck Percentage: Corridors with more than 10% truck traffic are undesirable for reversible lane implementation due to
  geometric and operational constraints
- Median Type: Roadways with paved medians or center TWLTL were preferred over raised medians due to reduced construction costs and ease of implementation
- Number of Lanes: A minimum of two (2) lanes in each direction is suggested even with reversible operations
- Surrounding Land Use: Commercial land use adjacent to the corridor(s) was preferred
- Transit Routes: Roadways with one (1) or no transit routes were preferred
- · Signalized Intersections: Thoroughfares with less than 10 traffic signals were encouraged
- · School Zones: Roadways with reduced speed school zones were penalized
- · Generic Capital Cost: A generic per mile capital cost estimate was developed and the lower the cost the better





In addition, roadways with on-street parking, mid-block pedestrian crossings, railroad crossings, part of an FDOT project, having high turning movement volumes, and having issues with access management were considered undesirable.

## RESULTS

Through the screening process and agency coordination Tier 1 resulted in fifteen (15) feasible corridors in Miami-Dade County for reversible lane application. This corridors are:

- 1. NE 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue
- 2. NW 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue
- 3. NW 7<sup>th</sup> Street
- 4. NW 25<sup>th</sup> Street
- 5. NW 32<sup>nd</sup> Avenue
- 6. NW 36<sup>th</sup> Street
- 7. NW 87<sup>th</sup> Avenue
- 8. SW 40<sup>th</sup> Street
- 9. SW 104<sup>th</sup> Street
- 10. SW 152<sup>nd</sup> Avenue
- 11. SW 184<sup>th</sup> Street
- 12. US-1/Dixie Highway
- 13. SW 8th Street
- 14. SW 88<sup>th</sup> Street
- 15. NW 114<sup>th</sup> Avenue

Based on the Tier 2 criteria a grading matrix was developed to screen the aforementioned fifteen (15) corridors. From the grading matrix two (2) corridors ranked highest making them the best candidates for reversible lanes implementation. These thoroughfares are NW 7<sup>th</sup> Street and NW 32<sup>nd</sup> Avenue. As consequence, conceptual plans, visualization, and a preliminary cost estimates of improvements for these two (2) roadways were developed.







Work Order GPC-VI-4-Reversible Lanes-Along Major Arterial Thoroughfares Matrix of Criteria and Ranking

Aurisdiction Initiative	SECTION NUMBER	R LOCAL NAME	BMP	From	EMP	To	Length	Station	Daily Volume	ruck %	60%-60% Average DD	AM (4	M DD hours)	60%-40 Average D	0% XD PM	PM DD (5 hrs)	Median	Manes	Primary Land Use	Transit	Signals	School Zones	Market	Traffic Impacts	Implementation & Cost (Million)	Criteria	Pros	Cens
Single	8700042	N NW 7 Steet	1.000	NW 52 Avenue	1.475	NW 57 Avenue	0.475	1	26260	3	66.1%	33.9%	75%	34.2%	65.8N	80% N	6	S Co	ummercial	,	2 N	• U/	ban	Low	Short	45	Ideal typical cross-section between NW 61 a	• Some intersections have raised mediane
Single Single	8704950 8758050	00 NW 7 Street 00 NW 7 Street	1.475	NW 57 Avenue NW 42 Avenue	2.990	NW 42 Avenue NW 27 Avenue	1.515	2	37890 35970	3	52.9%	47.1% 47.9%	0%	44.8%	55.2% 55.6%	0% N	0	5 Co 5 Co	immercial immercial	1	5 N 6 Y	o Urr	lban Iban	Low Low	Short Short	37 36	NW 12 Avenue • Commencial area	<ul> <li>Although the segment is primary commercial there are some residential units</li> </ul>
Single	8758050	01 NW 7 Street	0.000	NW 27 Acense	1.525	NW 12 Avenue	1.525	4	26980	3	60.8%	39.2%	75%	38.5%	61.5N	60% N		S Co	mmercial		6 1	H UM	than	lew	Short	41	Parallel to SR 836     Single jurisdiction	Pedestrian crossings mid-block     School zone
Single		NW 7 Street	0.000	NW 62 Avenue	5.552	NW 12 Avenue	5.052		31775	3 5	8.0%	12.0%	18% 4	10.5%	59.5N	35%	No	5	Commercial	1	19	Yes	Urban	Low	Short + \$5 Million	44.75	Cost of Implementation per mile low	Parking affected
Single	\$700017	18 NW 32 Avenue	0.910	58 112 NW 54 Prest	1.666	NW 54 Street	0,756	5	23110	12	44.9%	55.1N	0%	61.5%	33.5%	80% N	0	5 Re	isidential uridential	1	4 1		bas bas	Low	Short	35		
Single Single	8700020	29 NW 32 Avenue 28 NW 32 Avenue	0.000	NW 79 Street NW 103 Street	1.558	NW 103 Street Gragtigny Prkwy	1.558	7	24569	12	49.0% 38.2% 40.0%	61.8N 60.0%	100% 75%	62.3% 60.0%	37.7%	80% N 80% V	6	5 Re 4 Co	rsidential	1	4 N 6 N	9 U/ 9 U/	tean tean	low	Short Short	33	Ideal typical section     North-south comidor parallel to 1-95	Median at the northern side of the corridor     stort
Single		NW 32 Avenue	0.000	5R 112	4.861	Gragtigny Prkwy	4,861		24017	12 4	3.2% 1	56.8%	44X (	1.2%	38.8%	80%	Yes	4	Residential	1	19	Yes	Urban	Low	Short + \$4.9 Million	41.5	Cost of implementation per mile low	Residential houses along the corridor     Track traffic
Matti	8700002	19 NW 25 Street	0.000	HEFT	1.498	NW 102 Avenue	1.498	878110	23500.*		60.7%	29.35	50%	50.0%	50.0%	crs/N		SiCe	menul		31N		ðan.	law	Short	36		
Multi	8700015	M NW 25 Street	0.000	NW 102 Street	1.530	NW 87 Avenue	1.530	877025	31000	15.8	65.4%	34,6%	100%	41.2%	58.8%	40% N	0	S Co	immercial	1	3 N	o U/	than	High	Short	38	a Maid Supral section Between HEET and \$7 Austral	a Manual track traffic
Multi	8700018	14 NW 25 Street	1.530	NW 87 Avenue	2.530	SR 826	1,000	877026	29000	17.9	63.6K	36.4%	75%	47.9%	52.1%	016 14	0	6 Co	mmercial	- 1	4 N	o U/	than	High	Short	32	No school zones     Cost of implementation per mile low	Raised median from 87 Avenue to SR 826     DO during the PM peak appens not to be adequate
Multi		NW 25 Street	0.000	HUFT	4.028	SR 826	4.028		27833	16.85 6	1.2% 1	16.8%	75% 4	6.4X	53.6N	13%	Yes	5	Commercial	1	10	No	Urban	Med	Short + \$4.1 Million	40.3	Veroles Constants in Southers	
	-			-																			_					
Single	87000964	SW 152 Avenue	0.000	SW 40 Street	2.229	SW 8 Street	2.229 8	878691	12600	5.8 6	7.5% 3	12.5%	75% 3	12.6%	67.4%	100%	No	5	Residential	1	3	Yes	Sub-urban	Low	Short + \$2.3 Million	40.0	Ideal typical section     Good Directional Distribution	Sub-urban segment, limited market
																											- case or explormentation per mise sole	
														T						T								
Single	87000555	NW 114 Avenue	2.244	NW 36 Street	6.320	NW 106 Street	4.076 8	878584	12300	7.3 7	7.2% 2	2.8%	00% 3	8.5%	71.5%	100%	Yes	4	Residential	1	7	Yes	Urban	Med	Short + \$4.1 Million	40.0	Portion of the segment between NW 36 Street and N     S8 Street presents the ideal typical cross-section	Residential area     Raised median north of NW \$8 Street
																											Cost of implementation low	74 Street
Sinch		VINOV 7 4-4-4-4-4	1 300	New YO Group?	1.071	NW 16 Grant	1 1 4 1	175.000	374440	11	37.65	0.00	76-1	66 MM	20.00	1000.00		-	onevi/*				A.u.	Med	Loren.	1100		-
Single Single	8714000	20 NW 7 Avenue 20 NW 7 Avenue 20 NW 7 Avenue	3.075	NW 36 Street NW 56 Street	4.126	WW 54 Street	1.021	873005 879030 875141	23500	4.2 2.5 5.1	37.5% 37.5%	62.5% 58.9%	75%	46.4% 64.5% 62.3%	33.6%	80% N	6 10	5 Co	innercial		5 N 2 N		tun tun	Med	Long	42.00	-	
Single	8714000	00 NW 7 Avenue 00 NW 7 Avenue	4.695	NW 62 Street NW 79 Street	5.649	NW 79 Street NW 85 Road	0.954	875144 870529	24500	3.3	34.2% 37.1%	65.8N	75%	61.2% 59.3%	38.8N 40.7N	60% N	0	5 Ca	ummercial	2	8 N 2 N	o U/	than than	Med	Long	41.00		
Single	8714000	00 NW 7 Avenue 00 NW 7 Avenue	6.112	NW 85 Road NW 103 Street	7.171 8.171	NW 103 Street NW 119 Street	1.059	870235	32500	5.1 5.1	34.3% 32.8%	61.7%	75% \$00%	67.2% 60.5%	32.8%	100% N	0	S Co	immercial	2	3 N	0 U/	than than	Med	Long	42.00	Ideal typical section     North-south comidor parallel to 1-95	Complete street project by FDOT
Single Single	8714000	00 NW 7 Avenue 00 NW 7 Avenue	8.171 9.172	NW 119 Street NW 115 Street	9.172	NW 135 Street Golden Glades	1.001	870128 870436	37500	5.1	29.9%	70.1N 66.9N	100%	54.5% 49.2%	45.5% 50.8%	0% ¥	65	6 Co	emmercial emmercial	2	3 N 5 N	o U/	than than	Med	Long	36.00	Cost of implementation per mile low	A previus study of reversible lanes was rejected.
Single		NW 7 Avenue	0.000	NW 20 Street	8.758	Golden Glades	8.758		28556	4.27 3	5.7%	14.355	78%	0.6%	39.4%	58%	Yes		Commercial	2	33	No	Urban	Med	Long + \$8.7 Million	39.4		
								-							-								_	1		7		5) 
Multi	8700041 8700042	17 NW 36 Street 19 NW 36 Street	0.000	HEFT NW 107 Avenue	0.500	NW 107 Avenue NW 102 Avenue	0.500	877081 878196	44000	7.2	67.9% 66.5%	32,1% 33.5%	300% 75%	36.0% 38.5%	64.0% 63.5%	100% ¥ 80% ¥	es	6 Co 6 Re	nidential	2	1 N 1 N	o Ur o Ur	rban rban	Med Med	Low	40	City of Doral most congested comidor	Recenet Traffic data not completely available between
Multi	8700018	NW 35 Street	0.000	NW 102 Avenue	0.808	NW 93 Court	0.808	877022	48500	5.2	69.1%	30.9%	100%	39.9%	60.5%	80% 4	es	6 Re	rsidential	2	2 N	o U/	than .	Med	Low	38	No school zone     Few signals per mile	87 Avenue and 5R 825 • Heavy volume intersection at 87 Avenue
Multi	arer 50	NW 36 Street	0.000	HEFT	4.081	SR 826	4.081		45125	7.53 6	7.8% 3	2.2%	92% 3	18.1%	61.9%	87%	Yes	6	Commercial	2	8	No	Urban	Med	Long + \$6.6 Million	11.5		Loss or Implementation per mile high
Multi	87000030	NW 87 Avenue	0	Okeechobee Blvd	4.12	NW 169 Street	4.12 8	878112	10200	2.6 8	0.0%	10.0% 1	8.0%	12.8%	67.2%	80.0%	Yes	5	Residential	0	8	No	Sub-urban	Low	Short + \$3.5 Million	38.0	Ideal typical section     Cost of implementation gave mile Law	Segment traffic not defined as several projects like the American Dream can change vehicular patients and
											-						-		-									needs
Single Single	8700100	XX Kendall Drive XX Kendall Drive	2.436	SW 152 Avenue SW 137 Avenue	3.962	SW 137 Avenue SW 127 Avenue	0.992	871080 870060	47000 70500	4	63.9% 63.2%	36.1N 36.8N	75% 50%	43.6%	56.4% 57.4%	0% ¥	es es	6 Co 8 Co	ummercial ummercial	2	7 N 3 N	o U/ o U/	than than	High High	High High	36 38		
Single Single	8700100	00 Kendall Drive 00 Kendall Drive	4.954	SW 127 Avenue SW 117 Avenue	5.951 7.128	SW 117 Avenue SW 107 Avenue	0.997	870062 870592	71500 60500	4	72.2% 61.8%	27,8% 38,7%	25%	44.4%	55.6% 57.0%	0% Y	es es	6 Co 6 Co	enmercial enmercial	2	7 N 3 N	o U/ o U/	than than	High High	нірь Нірь	39 36		Rot     Raised Median
Single	8700100	00 Kendall Drive	7.128	SW 107 Avenue	8.135	SW 97 Avenue	1.008	870064	69500	3	62.8N	37.2%	50%	37.0%	63.0%	100% Y	es	6 Co	immercial	2	4 N	• U/	ban	High	High	40	Very high demand segment	Access Management policy     Premium Transit Corridor under study
Single		Kendall Drive	0.000	SW 152 Avenue	5.700	SW 97 Avenue	5,700		63800	4.24 6	4.7% 1	15.3%	60% 4	2.1%	57.9%	20%	Yes	6	Commercial	2	24	No	Urban	High	Long + \$9.3 Million	37.8		• Lost or implementation High
Single	\$703400	00 NE 6 Avenue	0.000	Biscayne Ned	0.940	NE 103 Street	0.940	871009	9300	2.5	29.3%	60.7%	50%	54.0%	45.0%	20% N	0	5 Re	rsidential	2	5 N	a U/	ban	Med	low	33	-	
Single	8703400	XIN: 6 Avenue XI NI: 6 Avenue	2.343	NVV all3 Street NE 125 Street	2.343	INE 125 Street	0.632	871010 872539	17200	2.3	32.3%	68,1%	100%	54.9% 53.7%	45.1% 46.3N	CON N	es io	d Re 5 Re	rsidential	2	4 Y.	a U/	toan toan	Med	low low	35	Ideal typical section	ROR     Raised median along the segment
Single		NW 6 Avenue	0.000	Biscayne Blvd	2.975	NW 135 Street	2.975		14267	2.55 3	4.5N 4	15.5%	75% 5	4.2%	45.8%	7%	No	5	Residential	2	14	Yes	Urban	Med	Short + \$3.0 Million	37.7	Season imprementation per mile Low	DD during the PM peak appears not to be adequate
Single Single	87000477	SW 104 Street	0	SW 147 Avenue SW 137 Avenue	0.997	SW 137 Avenue SW 127 Avenue	0.997	878220	28000	3.0 7	5.4%	24.6% 3	0.0%	4.2%	55.8% 61.5%	25.0%	Yes	4	Residential	2	2	No No	Urban Urban	Med Med	High	33	-	• Residential Area
Single Single	87000065	SW 104 Street	1.011	SW 127 Avenue SW 147 Avenue	2.942	58.874 58.874	1.931 2.542	878126	61000 35750	3.0 7	1.7%	28.3% 5	00.0%	13.9%	55.1% 58.7%	100.0% 62.5%	Yes	4	Residential Residential	2	7	No	Urban Urban	Med Med	High High + \$ 5.1 Million	41 37.3	Connectivity to two expressivays	Four lane section road with lanscaping median     Cost of implementation High
Single	8700022	15 SW 184 Street	0.000	SW 147 Avenue	1.256	SW 134 Arenue	1.256	878170	18100	3.4	60.3N	39,7%	50%	46.0%	54.0%	0% N	6	4 Re	rsidential	1	3 N	o 54	d urban	Low	Short	28	_	
Single	8700008	54[SW 184 Street	0.000	SW 134 Avenue	1.774	SW 117 Asenue	1.774	877009	33000	4.4	68.5%	31.5N	100%	39.9%	60.1%	80% N	0	4 Re	isidential	1	4 N	0 54	Ø-urban	LGW	Short	35	Few intersections     Cost of implementation per mile Low	Sub-urban segment     Besidential area
Single		SW 184 Street	0.000	SW 147 Avenue	3.030	SW 117 Avenue	3.030		25550	3.9	64%	36%	75% 4	13.0%	57.1%	40%	No	4	Residential	1	7	No	Sub-urban	Low	Long + \$3.1 Million	36.5		
Single	8712000	00 SW 8 Street	4.010	SW 137 Avenue SW 127 Avenue	5.028	SW 127 Aresue	1.018	870088	43500	5.9	65.8%	34.2N	100%	42.8%	57.2%	0% ¥	6	6 Co	inneicial	2	3 N	0 U/	thans	High	High	37	-	
Single	\$712000	00 SW 8 Street	5.991	HEFT	7.045	SW 107 Avenue	1,054	870090	55000	1.8	64.6%	35.4N	100%	\$3.5%	46.5%	0% Y	65	6 Co	unmercial	1	4 N	a U/	than	High	High	36	-	DO during the PM peak appears not to be adequate
Single	8712000	00 SW 8 Street	7.045	SW 107 Avenue	9.055	SW 87 Avenue	2.011	870589	57000	6.6	63.4%	35.6N	50%	46.2%	53,8%	0% Y	es	8 Co	uninercial	2	6 N	o U/	than .	High	нар	36	Very high demand segment	Raised Median     Access Management policy
pingle	8712000	xrsw 8 Sovet	9.056	SW 87 Avenue	10.021	DX 826	0.965	870092	69000	3.8	57.5%	42.5%	25%	38.7%	61.3N	0% Y	6	6 Co	immercial	2	3 N	• U/	014	rogh	нцл	36		Consider under study by FOOT with multi-modal goals.     Cost of Implementation High
Single		SW 8 Street	0.000	SW 137 Avenue	6.011	SR 826	6.011		55900	5.20 6	4.0%	6.0%	75% 4	4.6%	55.4%	8%	Yes	8	Commercial	2	18	No	Urban	High	Long + 59.8 Million	36.6		
Multi Multi	8700013/ 8704400	19 SW 40 Street 30 SW 40 Street	0.000	SW 142 Avenue HEFT	2.391	HEFT SW 107 Avenue	2,393	877017 870072	34000 52000	3.1 3.9	69.7% 60.3%	30.3% 39.7%	100% 25%	37.4% 46.0%	62.8% 54.0%	80% ¥	es	4 Co 6 Co	ummercial ummercial	2	7 N 5 N	a U/	tun tun	High High	нур. Нур	36 33		
Multi Multi	8704400	00 SW 40 Street 00 SW 40 Street	1.142	SW 107 Avenue SW 97 Avenue	2.151	SW 97 Avenue SW 87 Avenue	0.993	870074 870076	54500 54500	5.3 5.3	58.7% 67.2%	41.3% 32.8%	2556 7516	38.7% 38.6%	61.3% 61.4%	80% Y	es	6 Co 6 Co	immercial immercial	2	4 Y	o UM	than than	High	нир	34 39		Congested intersections such as 107 & 87 Avenue could pose difficulty for reversed flows
Multi	8704400	00 SW 40 Street	3.144	SW 87 Avenue	4,223	SR 826 SW 67 Avenue	0.422	870078	72000	5.3	61,6%	33,4%	50%	38.9%	61.1%	80% Y	6	6 Co	immercial	2	6 N	0 UI	than	High	High	39	Connectivity to two expressways     Very high traffic demand	Raised Median     Access Management policy
Multi	8704400	00 SW 40 Street	5.200	SW 57 Avenue	6.219	SW 57 Avenue	1.019	870080	51500	5.3	64.6%	35.6N	75%	42.2%	57.8%	20% 4	15	6 Co	uninercial	1 2	3 N 2 N	a U	ban	High	нур	30	Most segment can be considered commercial	Multi-jurisdiction coordination     Consider under study by FOOT with multi-modal goals
Multi		SW 40 Street	0.000	SW 142 Avenue	6.219	SW 57 Avenue	6.219		55571	5	ISN	35%	64% A	0.3%	59.7%	54.3N	Yes		Commercial	2	29	Yes	Urban	High	Long + \$10.5 Million	8.3		Cost of Implementation High
Multi	\$702000	00 US-1	12.014	SW 112 Avenue	14.139	SW 183 Street	2.125	870346	53500	6	71.3%	28.7%	100%	42.4%	57.6N	0% Y	es	6 Ca	ummerciul	2	4 N	0 U/	010	High	нур	36	-	1
Multi Multi	8702000	00 US-1 N0/S8 00 US-1	14.139 15.265	SW 183 Street US-1 Split	15.265 17.387	US-1 Split SW 136 Street	1,126	872563 870332	59000 65500	5.2	72.4%	27.6%	100%	42.9%	57.1%	0% ¥	es	6 Co	mmercial	2	7 N	• U/	620	High	нар	38		a Record Martine
Multi	8702000	005-1	17.387	SW 135 Street SW 112 Street	19.071 20.042	SW 112 Street SR 825	1,684	870014 870110	79000 91500	2.6 5.2	65.8%	33.2%	75%	43.3% 39.4%	56.7% 60.6%	40% Y	15	6 Co 6 Co	mmercial	2	6 N	a Ur	than than	High	нир	38	View both damand seament	Access Management policy     Multi-senderice coordination
Multi	8703000	00 05-1	0.840	Sn ező Kendall Drive	0.840	SR 878	0.840	872532 870163	48000	3.7	54.8%	45.3%	25%	40.9%	59.1% 61.2%	40% Y	6	6 Co	inmercial	2	5 N 2 N	a Ur a Ur	itan Itan	righ High	High	33		Consider under study by FDOT with multi-modal goals     Cost of Implementation High
Multi		US-1	0.000	SW 112 Avenue	9.312	SR 878	9.312		64071	4.74 6	4.2% 1	15.8%	67% 4	1.3%	58.7%	27%	Yes	6	Commercial	2	25	No	Urban	High	Long + \$15.2 Million	36.0		







## NEXT STEPS TO BE TAKEN

#### **IMPLEMENTATION PLAN**

A Project Development and Environmental (PD&E) Study requiring:

- A refinement of the preliminary conceptual alternatives
- A detailed traffic operations analysis study
- A detailed multimodal safety study
- An analysis of expressway accessibility
- A compatibility analysis with special events at Marlins Park
- · An analysis of social and environmental impacts
- A community and stakeholder engagement

#### **PHYSICAL IMPLEMENTATION**

- Milling & Resurfacing existing asphalt pavement
- · Minor reconstruction of existing medians and traffic separators
- Installation of new pavement markings and signage
- · Installation of new intelligent transportation systems (ITS) and traffic control devices
- And utility coordination and potential relocation



